

**ENTERED**

October 31, 2024

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

BRADLEY THOMAS	§	CIVIL ACTION No
BOONE,	§	4:24-cv-01448
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
	§	
vs.	§	JUDGE CHARLES ESKRIDGE
	§	
	§	
KELLY MATTHEWS,	§	
Defendant.	§	

**ORDER ADOPTING  
MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**

Plaintiff Bradley Thomas Boone proceeds here *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*. He filed a complaint against Administrative Law Judge Kelly Matthews on April 18, 2024. Dkt 1. The case was referred for pretrial management to Magistrate Judge Christina A. Bryan. Dkt 4.

Pending is a Memorandum and Recommendation by Judge Bryan dated October 9, 2024. Dkt 24. She recommends that this case be dismissed with prejudice because it is barred by judicial immunity and frivolous.

The district court reviews *de novo* those conclusions of a magistrate judge to which a party has specifically objected. See FRCP 72(b)(3) & 28 USC §636(b)(1)(C); see also *United States v Wilson*, 864 F2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir 1989, *per curiam*). The district court may accept any other portions to which there's no objection if satisfied that no clear error appears on the face of the record. See *Guillory v PPG Industries Inc*, 434 F3d 303, 308 (5th Cir 2005), citing *Douglass v United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir 1996, *en banc*); see also FRCP 72(b) advisory committee note (1983).


None of the parties filed objections. No clear error otherwise appears upon review and consideration of the Memorandum and Recommendation, the record, and the applicable law.

The Memorandum and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the Memorandum and Order of this Court. Dkt 24.

This case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. The Court will issue a final judgment separately.

SO ORDERED.

Signed on October 31, 2024, at Houston, Texas.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Charles Eskridge  
United States District Judge